UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION Alexandria, Virginia

In the Matter of)	
	j	Docket No.: 15-0089-R2
Karen Zunk-Wolf)	

NOTICE OF PROHIBITION

WHEREAS on or about May 22, 2015, Karen Zunk-Wolf ("Zunk-Wolf") was sentenced on the charge of Theft, Ohio Rev. Code § 2913.02(A)(3), a charge to which she had previously pleaded guilty, in connection with her employment at YS Federal Credit Union, in the Court of Common Pleas for Greene County, Ohio;

WHEREAS a violation of Ohio Rev. Code § 2913.02(A)(3) is a criminal offense involving dishonesty and breach of trust;

NOW, THEREFORE, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT:

- 1. Pursuant to 12 U.S. C. § 1785(d)(1)(A) and 12 U.S.C. § 1829(a)(1)(A), Zunk-Wolf is prohibited from becoming an "institution affiliated party" of any insured depository institution, as defined in 12 U.S.C. § 1786(r); otherwise participating, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of the affairs of any insured depository institution; and owning or controlling, directly or indirectly, any insured depository institution;
- 2. Pursuant to 12 U.S. C. § 1785(d)(1)(B) and 12 U.S.C. § 1829(a)(1)(B), no insured depository institution may permit Zunk-Wolf to engage in any conduct or continue in any relationship prohibited in paragraph 1 above;

- 3. Pursuant to 12 U.S.C. § 1785(d)(3) and 12 U.S.C. § 1829(b), whoever knowingly violates paragraph 1 or 2 above is subject to a fine of not more than \$1 million for each day such prohibition is violated, or imprisonment for not more than five (5) years, or both;
- 4. The "Judgment Entry" document, Case No. 2015-CR-118, is made a part hereof and is incorporated herein by reference; and
- 5. This Notice of Prohibition shall be effective and enforceable on the date set forth below.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 13th day of 1/19, 2015.

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION BOARD

Jane Walters

Regional Director NCUA Region II

2015 HAY 22 AM 9: 15 TERRI AL MIZER, CLERK COLINIA TERRI COURT SETTY, OHIO

IN THE COMMON PLEAS COURT OF GREENE COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO, Plaintiff

CASE NO. 2015-CR-118

-VS-

JUDGMENT ENTRY (Community Control)

KAREN MARIE ZUNK-WOLF, Defendant

On May 22, 2015, a sentencing hearing was held pursuant to R.C. §2929.19, notice having been given to all parties. Defendant was present in person, was represented by counsel Tyler Starline, was given an opportunity to speak and to present witnesses and was afforded all rights pursuant to Criminal Rule 32. Assistant Prosecuting Attorney NICAL BULKE was present for the State of Ohio.

The Court has considered the record, oral statements, any victim impact statement, the presentence report, the purposes and principles of sentencing under R.C. 2929.11, the seriousness and recidivism factors relevant to the offense and defendant pursuant to R.C. 2929.12, and the need for deterrence, incapacitation, rehabilitation and restitution. The Court is guided by the overriding purposes of felony sentencing, including protection of the public from future crime by the defendant and others and punishment of the defendant, using the minimum sanctions that the court determines accomplish those purposes without imposing an unnecessary burden on state or local government resources. R.C 2929.11

The Court finds that the defendant has been convicted:

\boxtimes	upon defendant's plea of guilty;
Ħ	by a jury after a jury trial:
П	by a jury after a jury trial; by the Court after a bench trial;
	by the Court after defendant's plea of no contest;

on March 20, 2015, of the following offense(s):

PAGE 2 RE: KAREN M. ZUNK-WOLF CASE NO: 2015-CR-118 JUDGMENT ENTRY (COMMUNITY CONTROL)

Count I:

a violation of R.C. §2913.02(A)(3), Theft, a felony of the fifth degree which is not a mandatory term pursuant to R.C. 2929.13(F), 2929.14(D) or

2925;

For the reasons stated on the record, the Court further FINDS the following: A community control sanction or a combination of community control sanctions will adequately punish the defendant and protect the public from future crime because factors indicating a lesser likelihood of recidivism outweigh those indicating a greater likelihood of recidivism; and a community control sanction or a combination of community control sanctions will not demean the seriousness of the offense because one or more factors indicate the defendant's conduct was less serious than conduct normally constituting the offense, and they outweigh any applicable factors indicating the defendant's conduct was more serious than conduct normally constituting the offense.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the defendant be sentenced accordingly:

\boxtimes	Basic Probation Supervision for 5 years;
	Intensive Probation Supervision for 5 years;
	Monitored Time for 2/5 years to include payment of all finances in 180 days
	and no further criminal activity;
	Community Service of hours;
	Electronic Monitoring for;
	S.C.R.A.M.;
	County Jail for (no good time credit);
	Work release for;
	Community Based Correctional Facility for at;
	Greene Leaf Therapeutic Community and Aftercare Program;
\boxtimes	Treatment Theft Clinic;
	Chemical Dependency Evaluation and Treatment;
	Mental Health Evaluation and Treatment;
	Mental Health Evaluation/treatment and Sex Offender Treatment;
\boxtimes	Urinalysis;
	The Defendant is ordered to participate in an alcohol and drug addiction
	program authorized by R.C. 3793.02, subject to division I of section 4511.19;
	Payment of all financial obligations within 90/180 days;

all to be monitored by the Greene County Adult Probation Department. The Court may modify this order, consistent with R.C. §2929.15, as needed to protect the public and may punish violations of this order by imposing a longer period of supervision, a more restrictive community control sanction, or a prison term. Violation of this sentence will lead to a longer and more restrictive sanction for the defendant, being a prison term as follows:

PAGE 3
RE: KAREN M. ZUNK-W.

RE: KAREN M. ZUNK-WOLF CASE NO: 2015-CR-118

JUDGMENT ENTRY (COMMUNITY CONTROL)

Count I:

for a definite period of <u>12 months</u> for the violation of R.C. §2913.02(A)(3), Theft a felony of the fifth degree; which **is not** a mandatory term pursuant to R.C. 2929.13(F), 2929.14(D) or 2925:

If convicted of a felony, after prison release, if post release control is imposed, for violation of post release control conditions, the Adult Parole Authority or Parole Board could impose a more restrictive or longer control sanction, or return defendant to prison for up to nine months for each violation, up to a maximum of ½ the stated prison term. If the violation is a new felony, defendant may receive a prison term of the greater of one year or the time remaining on post release control, in addition to any other prison term imposed for the new offense.

During the period of this order for community control sanctions, defendant shall abide by all laws, shall not leave the state of Ohio without permission of the supervising probation officer, and shall not possess, sell, furnish, transfer, dispose of, purchase, acquire, carry, convey, or use a firearm or dangerous ordnance. The defendant is further ORDERED to submit to testing for drug use as determined by the supervising probation officer.

The defendant is entitled to -0- days jail time credit in accordance with R.C. §2967.191.

Defendant is ordered to pay a fine in the amount of \$ _____ of which \$ _____ is a mandatory fine.

The Court has considered the defendant's present and future ability to pay financial sanctions. Pursuant to R.C. §2929.18(D) the Court imposes a financial sanction of restitution as an Order in favor of the victim(s) of the defendant's criminal act in the amount of \$10,233.50, that can be collected through execution as described in division (D)(1) of R.C. §2929.18. The defendant shall be considered for purposes of the collection as a Judgment Debtor. The Defendant is ordered to pay restitution of \$10,233.50, a probation supervision fee of:

\boxtimes	\$50.00 per month for twenty four (24) months (Basic Probation Supervision);
	\$50.00 per month for the entire period of supervision (Special Supervision Programs);
	\$50.00 per month for up to six months (Monitored Time);

all costs of prosecution (Court costs etc.), and any fees permitted pursuant to R.C. §2929.18(A)(4). Pursuant to R.C. 2929.18(A)(1), the Defendant is ordered to pay a 5% surcharge on the amount of restitution, payable to the Clerk of Courts for the collecting and processing of restitution payments.

Costs of proceedings are assessed against the Defendant for which execution is hereby awarded. All bonds posted in this matter are Ordered released, in accordance with R.C. §2947.23 and O.R.C. §2937.40.

Pursuant to R.C. §2947.23, if the defendant fails to pay or fails to pay in a timely manner, the costs of prosecution under a payment schedule approved by the Court, the Court may order the defendant to perform community service until the costs of prosecution have been paid or the Court is satisfied the defendant is in compliance with the payment schedule. The defendant will receive credit towards the costs of prosecution at an hourly rate equal to the established Federal Minimum Wage.

Pursuant to O.R.C. §2901.07, the Defendant is ordered to submit to the collection of a DNA specimen.

The Defendant HAS / HAS NOT been fingerprinted in this case.

The Defendant is ORDERED to report to the Greene County Adult Probation Department forthwith.

APPROVED:

Common Rle

Prosecuting Attorney

Attorney for Defendant

H Dun MI